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ABSTRACT
In this paper, we present a novel framework for asynchronous WBT. The proposed system has two distinguishing features. Firstly, it is based on P2P architecture for scalability and robustness. Secondly, all contents in the system are not only data but also agents so that they can mark user’s answers, tell the correct answers, and show some extra information without human instruction. We also present a prototype implementation of the proposed system on Maglog that is a Prolog-based framework for building mobile multi-agent systems we have developed. Performance simulations demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed system.
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1 Introduction
The term e-Learning covers a wide set of applications and processes, such as Web-based training (hereafter we abbreviate as WBT), computer-based training, virtual classrooms, and digital collaboration. We are concerned with asynchronous WBT that allows the learner to complete the WBT on his own time and schedule, without live interaction with the instructor.

Although a large number of studies have been made on asynchronous WBT[1, 2], all of them are based on the client/server model. The client/server systems generally lack scalability and robustness. In the recent years, P2P research has grown exponentially. Although the current P2P systems are famous for file sharing, and the consequent legal problems, P2P systems are gradually proving to be a very promising area of research because they have potential for offering a decentralised, self-sustained, scalable, fault tolerant and symmetric network of computers providing an effective balancing of storage and bandwidth resources.

In this paper, we present a novel framework for asynchronous WBT. The proposed system has two distinguishing features. Firstly, it is based on P2P architecture and every user’s computer plays the role of a client and a server. Namely, while a user uses the proposed e-Learning system, his/her computer (hereafter we refer to such a computer as a node) is a part of the system. It receives some number of contents from another node when it joins the system and has responsibility to send appropriate contents to requesting nodes. Secondly, each content in the system is not only data but also an agent so that it can mark user’s answers, tell the correct answers, and show some extra information without human instruction.

This paper is organised in 7 sections. We describe the supposed situation for the proposed system in Section 2. In Section 3, we describe our design goals. In Section 4, we describe the design of the proposed system and a prototype implementation of the system. In Section 5, we present performance simulations. In Section 6, we briefly review related work. Finally, in Section 7, we describe some concluding remarks.

2 Supposed Situation
As mentioned in the previous section, we focus on asynchronous WBT, that is to say, a user can connect to the proposed e-Learning system anytime and anywhere he/she wants. Once connection is established, the user can obtain exercises one after another through specifying categories of the required exercises. User’s answers for each exercise are marked as correct or incorrect right away. Extra information may be provided for each answer, which can be viewed when the correct answer is shown.

While a user uses the proposed e-Learning system, his/her computer is a part of the system. Namely, it receives some number of categories and exercises in them from another node when it joins the system and has responsibility to send appropriate exercises to requesting nodes.

The important point to note is that the categories a node has are independent of the categories in which the node’s user are interested as shown in Figure 1. Figure 1 illustrates that user A’s request is forwarded at first to the neighbor node, next forwarded to the node which has the requested category.

3 Basic Concepts
As mentioned above, the proposed system has two distinguishing features. Firstly, it is based on P2P architecture. Secondly, each exercise is not only data but also an agent
Figure 1. Proposed e-Learning system.

so that it can mark user’s answers, tell the correct answers, and show some extra information about the exercise. In this section, we describe these features in detail.

3.1 P2P Aspect

All exercises in the proposed system are classified into categories such as “Mathematics / Expression / Equation”, “English / Grammar”, and “History / Rome”, etc.

When the proposed system begins, one initial node has all categories in the system. When another node joins the system, it is received some number of categories from the initial node. The categories are distributed among all nodes in the system according as nodes join the system or leave the system.

We would like to emphasize that in existing P2P-based file sharing systems such as Napster[3], Gnutella[4], and Freenet[5] each shared file is owned by a particular node. Accordingly, files are originally distributed among all nodes. On the other hand, the categories in the proposed system are originally concentrated. Consequently, when a new node joins the system, not only location information of a category but the category itself must be handed to the new node. Considering that, the P2P network of the proposed system can be constructed as a CAN[6].

A CAN has a virtual coordinate space that is used to store \((key, value)\) pairs. To store a pair \((K_1, V_1)\), key \(K_1\) is deterministically mapped onto a point \(P\) in the coordinate space using a uniform hash function. The corresponding \((key, value)\) pair is then stored at the node that owns the zone within which the point \(P\) lies. In the proposed system, we let each category be a key and let a set of exercises belonging to the category be the corresponding value.

3.2 Mobile Agent Aspect

Generally, in addition to service to show an exercise, a WBT server provides services to mark the user’s answers, tell the correct answers, and show some extra information about the exercise. Therefore, for the proposed system which can be considered a distributed WBT system, it is not enough that only exercises are distributed among all nodes. Functions to provide the above services also must be distributed among all nodes. We adopt mobile agent technology to achieve this goal. Namely, an exercise is not only data but also an agent so that it can mark user’s answers, tell the correct answers, and show some extra information about the exercise.

In addition, mobile agent technology is applied to realize the migration of categories, that is, each category is also an agent in the proposed system.

4 Design and Implementation

We have implemented a prototype of the proposed system on Maglog that is a Prolog-based framework for building mobile multi-agent systems we have developed[7].

As shown in Figure 2, a node consists of the following agents and a user interface program. The components of a node are divided into two type, those that move to other node referred as mobile components in Figure 2, and those that keep their station referred as stational components in Figure 2.

Node Agent There is one node agent on each node. It manages the zone information of a CAN and forwards messages to the category agents in the node.

Category Agent Each category agent stands for a unit of a particular subject. It manages exercise agents in itself and sends them to the requesting node.

Exercise Agent Each exercise agent has a question and functions to mark user’s answers, tell the correct answers, and show some extra information about the exercise. These data are formatted in HTML.

Interface Agent There is one interface agent on each node. It is an interface between the user interface program and other agents.

The user interface program has been developed through extending Scamper which is a simple web browser runs in Squeak[8].

Agents communicate with other agents through ‘field’s provided by Maglog framework. A field is kind of a preemptive queue. Roughly speaking, the above mentioned four kinds of agents execute a message dispatch loop. Each message to an agent is queued into the field owned by the agent. The user interface program also communicates with the interface agent through a field via XML-RPC[9]. Table 1 shows a partial summary of message types.

Figures 3, 4, 5, and 6 are screen-shots of the user interface program. Categories are classified into a hierarchical tree structure, as shown in Figure 3. By clicking the right button of a mouse on a category, a user can obtain an
exercise belonging to the category. After a while an appropriate exercise agent comes from some node and the user can try the question as shown in Figure 4. The user can require to mark his/her answer anytime by clicking the submit button. Figure 5 shows an example result of marking. Figure 6 shows the correct answers and extra information about the exercise that are shown by clicking the answer button.

![Figure 2. Architecture of a node.](image)

![Figure 3. An exercise can be obtained by clicking the right button of a mouse on a category.](image)

![Figure 4. An appropriate exercise agent comes from some node and is tried by the requested user.](image)

### Table 1. Partial summary of message types.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dispatcher Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Node join</td>
<td>To join the system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Node leave</td>
<td>To leave the system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Node update</td>
<td>To update the neighbour’s zone information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Node request</td>
<td>To get an exercise agent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category add</td>
<td>To add an exercise agent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category go</td>
<td>To go to another node.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category send</td>
<td>To send an exercise agent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category receive</td>
<td>To receive an exercise agent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise go</td>
<td>To go to the requesting node.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise show</td>
<td>To show a question.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise mark</td>
<td>To mark a user’s answer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise answer</td>
<td>To show the correct answer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise info</td>
<td>To show the extra information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interface retrieve</td>
<td>To get an exercise agent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interface release</td>
<td>To let an exercise agent go home.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interface arrived</td>
<td>To be notified the arrival of an exercise agent.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 **Performance Simulation**

This section presents performance simulations obtained from a prototype implementation of the proposed system described in the previous section.

The experimental environment consists of 8 PCs with Intel Pentium4 2.4GHz processor and 512MB of RAM, and all the PCs are running on GNU/Linux (kernel version is 2.2.26) operating system. The physical network layout is shown in Figure 7.

We measured the searching latency in the experimental environment under the conditions shown in Table 2. All
Figure 5. User's answers are marked as correct or incorrect by clicking the submit button.

Figure 6. Correct answers and extra information are shown by clicking the answer button on the screen shown as Figure 5.

Figure 7. Experimental environment.

Nodes send searching requests at the same time and exercises to be searched are selected randomly. We compared distributed and concentrated systems where these terms are defined as follows:

**Distributed System** Each node has one category.

**Concentrated System** One node has all categories and the rest nodes have no category.

It must be noted that the distributed system represents the proposed system in which all categories have ideal distribution. The concentrated system is equivalent to an ordinary WBT system.

Table 2. Experimental Conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Nodes</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Categories</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Exercises/Category</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Searching Frequency [times/sec]</td>
<td>1, 1.2, 5, 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Simulations are carried out with the time interval of 600 seconds. Each Simulation is repeated 10 times and the average of those is reported in Figure 8. Naturally, the higher searching frequency is, the larger searching latency is. Figure 8 shows that searching latency grows rapid in the concentrated system, while it grows slowly in the distributed system. In other words, the result suggests that the proposed e-Learning system has higher scalability than ordinary concentrated WBT systems have.

![Figure 8. Comparison of concentrated and distributed systems in searching latency.](image)

6 Related Works

A great deal of effort has been made on agent-based systems[10, 11, 12, 13]. However, these technologies provide support for agent collaboration and communication but lack support for P2P technology. Therefore, there are
few agent-based P2P applications. PeerDB[14] is one of them, however agent technology is only used to assist query processing while in the proposed e-Learning system it is used not only for interactivity but also for migration of the functionality of the system.

Edutella is P2P network for exchanging information about learning objects[15]. Edutella is based on RDF(Resource Description Framework), which is a framework for representing information in the Web. Consequently, Edutella does not intend to receive user’s response. In contrast, that is one of main goal of the proposed system and it is achieved through agent technology.

7 Conclusion

Since existing asynchronous WBT systems are based on the client/server model, they have problems of scalability and robustness. The proposed e-Learning system solves these problems in decentralised manner through both P2P technology and mobile agent technology. Performance simulations suggest that the proposed e-Learning system has higher scalability than ordinary concentrated WBT systems have.

The expansion to provide popular functions of ordinary concentrated WBT systems for communication between the instructor and the learners and among the learners by means of email, BBS (Bulletin Board System) and ‘Chat’, in decentralised manner, is left for future work.

References


